ASC EXECUTIVE BOARD MINUTES

November 5, 1980
Sheraton Palace Hotel
San Francisco, California

MEMBERS PRESENT: Ronald L. Akers Elmer H. Johnson Joseph E. Scott
      Harry E. Allen Malcolm W. Klein Denis Szabo
      Roland Chilton Donal E.J. MacNamara Marguerite Q. Warren
      Edith E. Flynn Charles H. McCaghy Charles F. Wellford
      Daniel Glaser Barbara R. Price Frank Williams
      Don Gottfredson Albert Reiss, Jr. Guest: Gerald Lynch
      Travis Hirschi Marc Riedel A.C.J.S.
      James Inciardi Frank Scarpitti

President Daniel Glaser called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m., November 5, 1980. President Glaser welcomed Gerald Lynch, representing ACJS, to the Board meeting and suggested that the Society could have a representation of several people from different corners of the country to represent ASC at the ACJS meetings.

A motion was made by Elmer Johnson and seconded by Barbara Raffel Price to approve the minutes of March 1, 1980. Motion carried unanimously.

TREASURER'S REPORT (Attachment #1)

Charles McCaghy reported that the Society's cash reserve is very good at the present time mainly as a result of the successful Philadelphia meeting and a number of areas in which Sarah has worked to cut back on expenses. He reported that the Merrill Lynch Ready Assets Trust Account's interest rates have not been as great as anticipated in recent months, and that he is considering 90-day treasury bills as more reserves are received to help diversify the Society's financial position.

1980 ANNUAL MEETING REPORT (Attachment #2)

President Glaser commended Malcolm Klein for the outstanding job he has done in preparing the 1980 program. Klein distributed copies of his report covering three areas of concern: (a) program responsibilities, (b) program guidelines, and (c) financial stability and professional quality.

A. Program Responsibilities: Klein recommended that in the future a
more clear delineation and separation of functions be identified for the Program Chair, the Local Arrangements Chair, the Executive Office, and the Board.

1) Recommend that the Board set registration fees and waiver criteria, decisions on paper sales, and participation rules. The Executive Office could make suggestions on these.

2) Recommend the Executive Office handle publicity, book exhibits, program printing, announcements, coordination with Sage and the editor of THE CRIMINOLOGIST, and a recommended program budget based on past year's experiences.

3) Recommend the Local Arrangements Chair be responsible for only that, and be provided a small, separate budget for audio visual equipment rentals, part-time help, etc.

4) Recommend the Program Chair be responsible only for the substance of the program.

B. Program Guidelines: Recommend that the Executive Office, in consultation with the current outgoing and incoming Program Chairs, develop a written set of guidelines with dates for achievement of specific goals. Included in the Program Guidelines would be such matters as:

1) The responsibilities noted under (a) above.

2) Specification of the form of information to be submitted for the preliminary and final programs.

3) Specifications of the information to be provided for publicity announcements.

4) Suggested ways of handling the program administratively.

5) Provision of a standard statement for all program announcements; this would include rules of program participation, forms of submission for program entries, information required for acceptance of panels, workshops, etc.

6) Deadlines, dated in terms of weeks and months prior to the week of the convention.

A similar recommendation concerning local arrangements guidelines would be useful as well.

C. Financial Stability and Professional Quality: Klein recommended that the Board move to a screening and refereeing process for program participation, one that will provide an equitable and less arbitrary standard of quality. Klein spoke against registration fees varying from $30 to $45, which discourages participation. Klein indicated he felt that student membership fees were much too high to encourage membership and participation in the convention. Klein also reported that the Board's decision not to pay for audio-visual equipment rentals for the meeting was unprofessional in his opinion.

A motion was made by Don Gottfredson to accept the report and accept the spirit of the recommendations; seconded by Barbara Raffel Price. Call for discussion. MacNamara stated that the registration fee was not too high and that an effort could be made to
reduce the student fee. He commented that the idea of refereeing papers at a national meeting is much different than referring a journal, and given the time constraints possibly impossible to implement.

Akers inquired if Klein had received specific Board instructions that he could not turn down any paper, as that had not been the policy. Klein stated that written guidelines was the issue for guidance on acceptable policy. Akers noted that the notion of financial stability and professional stability is well taken, but the years during which financial stability had been evident has only been the last two years. Before that there had been an up and down period. Hirschi commented that he did not know anything about the quality of papers that were presented in the session he chaired, but that quality is an important consideration. Price suggested that the procedures be regularized by putting together a book of procedures for program chairs and committees.

Gottfredson suggested that the report be preserved in some form, making a cover and distributing to the Board members. A manual could also be developed from past program chairs. Wellford suggested that an ad hoc committee be appointed to develop such a document of past program chairs. President Glaser asked Klein if he would be willing to chair a committee that would prepare such a report, drawing from past program chair reports and suggested that Edith Flynn and Charles Wellford could serve on the committee. Klein stated his initial response would be no. He suggested that the Executive Office could better prepare such a report and stated he would like to see this be a responsibility for Sarah. He suggested that the past chairs' reports could be sent to Sarah and that these could be submitted to all the past chairs for consensus -- Alvin Cohn, Paul Brantingham, Charles Wellford, C. Ronald Huff/Robert Meier and Klein. Price suggested that the report go to the full Executive Board. Scarpitti suggested that this be done as quickly as possible. He also commented that there are no rules for each committee's responsibilities. President Glaser commended Klein for a superb job and an outstanding report.

CRIMINOLOGY REPORT

James Inciardi reported that the editorship of CRIMINOLOGY: An Interdisciplinary Journal has been transferred to Charles Thomas at the University of Florida. He reported that the new submission fee policy for articles to be reviewed by CRIMINOLOGY has not affected the quantity of articles, but had improved the overall quality of articles received. He noted that it appeared that the fee policy was not only helpful in covering the expenses of mailing out manuscripts, making telephone calls and additional secretarial help, but also discouraged people from submitting articles before they had done a thorough job of editing them themselves. Inciardi reported he had four issues to go and papers have already been accepted for those issues. He will formally be the editor until November 1981 at which time Thomas will take over as editor. All new manuscripts are being sent to Thomas.

THE CRIMINOLOGIST REPORT

Although Alvin Cohn was unable to attend the meeting, he wanted to inform the Board that he was looking into alternative printers for the newsletter.

ASC-ACJS DATA REPOSITORY

Frank Williams reported on behalf of the ASC Student Affairs Committee that there was interest in having a data base on all relevant criminology research projects. This would enable students and others to know individuals conducting research on various topics and to inquire as to the feasibility of utilizing other people's data bases for comparative purposes and/or secondary analysis. After considerable discussion and after a number of
Board members had mentioned the apparent duplication of effort this would require as to the services presently being offered by the University of Michigan's consortium, as well as NILECJ's efforts, a motion was made by Joseph Scott that the Society not participate in the development of this repository proposed at Sam Houston State University but rather assert our cooperation of the availability of the University of Michigan services now. Seconded by Edith Flynn. Motion carried. (Favor: 9; Opposed: 6).

Joseph Scott moved that the Society commend Frank Williams and the Student Affairs Committee for calling the Board's attention to the students need for data set availability and urge them to publicize to the students through the newsletter the availability of the University of Michigan CJAIN services. Seconded by Barbara Raffel Price. Motion carried.

MacNamara suggested that the Board might want to refer this project to one of its committees for next year.

BOARD VALIDATION PROCEDURES FOR NEW MEMBERS

Charles McCaghry brought up the matter of the Board validating new members that join the Society and suggested that the monthly procedures of submitting the new members' names to the Board be eliminated. This would require a Constitutional amendment to go through two Board meetings. A motion was made by Marc Riedel and seconded by Edith Flynn to eliminate from the Constitution that the Board require approval of new members. Motion passed unanimously.

CO-SPONSORSHIP OF 30th INTERNATIONAL COURSE IN CRIMINOLOGY

Donal MacNamara announced that the Societe International de Criminologie has designated John Jay College of Criminal Justice to offer the 30th International Course in Criminology from June 14 through June 20, 1981. This is the first time that the international course has been offered in the United States. MacNamara noted that it would seem fitting that The American Society of Criminology co-sponsor the course. If the Board approves this recommendation, he suggested the following provisos:

a) That the ASC incurs no liability or expense.

b) That ASC members (including student members) be offered a discounted registration fee (to be agreed on by the directors of the 30th Course [Stead and MacNamara]) and the Executive Committee of the Council.

c) That ASC's co-sponsorship appear prominently on the program and all future mailings.

MacNamara commented that he would like prominent mention of the 30th Course in all issues of THE CRIMINOLOGIST mailed prior to June 1981 and inclusion of the 30th Course announcement in the next mailing to be made to all ASC members. He will provide the printed announcements.

A motion was made by Barbara Raffel Price for the acceptance of the resolution; seconded by James Inciardi. Motion approved unanimously.

OCJET GRANT REPORT

Harry Allen reported that on November 28 reports will be sent out regarding the grant. Voluntary feedback has been received from the workshop participants. The workshops
are now over and there is a little bit of money that OCJET would like returned, and he hopes
to close out the account at the end of the year. Allen reported that the external evaluator's
report will be sent out in the near future.

Akers noted that the Board was still functioning as the Advisory Board for the grant
and questioned if there would be any further financial support. Allen replied that the
project has now terminated and funds will be turned over at the end of the calendar year.
Voting members of the Board may request up to $150 for reimbursement of this Board meeting.

REPORT OF 1980-81 ELECTIONS (Attachment #3)

Joseph Scott reported the 1980-81 election results as follows: President-Elect,
Harry E. Allen; Vice President-Elect, Barbara Raffel Price; Executive Counselors, Austin
Turk and Norval Morris.

There were 13 resolutions and/or Constitution changes voted upon. All but one
resolution, that an editorial board of radical criminologists be established for an issue
of CRIMINOLOGY, passed. In addition to the election of officers and the 11 resolutions
and/or Constitutional changes voted upon, members (actives and students) were asked to
vote on three alternative proposals for student membership and fees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVES</th>
<th>STUDENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Keep the present arrangement of half-rate dues but
no voting rights of Student members.

2. Eliminate the status of Student Member, giving full
voting rights and the same dues charges to all members.

3. Eliminate the status of Student Member, giving the
same voting rights to all members, but charge only
half the regular dues to members who present a
certification that they are full-time students.

The vote on Student membership will have to go to the membership for their vote before
the Constitution can be changed. The Board must vote on the proposal twice before it can
be submitted to the membership for a vote.

A motion was made by Barbara Raffel Price to eliminate the status of Student member,
giving the same voting rights to all members, but charge only half the regular dues to
members who present a certification that they are full-time students. Seconded by Charles
Wellford; motion carried. If Board approval is given at the next Board meeting, alternative
#3 will be submitted to the membership for vote.

JOINT COMMISSION ON CRIMINOLOGY AND CRIMINAL
JUSTICE EDUCATION AND STANDARDS REPORT

Edith Flynn reported that the Joint Commission had not yet finished their final report.
They have, she indicated, published a number of position papers as well as state-of-the-art
papers on criminology and criminal justice programs and a number of other relevant issues.
The final report is due by the end of 1980. Ample time and mailings will be given to all
members so that they could return the material for the report and prepare for a Commission
meeting. Flynn noted that the critical question for ASC is whether, given this short
review, ASC wants to join ACJS in endorsing the recommendations inasmuch as the recommenda-
tions overlap so strongly with ACJS accreditation standards. Flynn noted that the ASC
representatives would like feedback from the Board in helping them review the report. They have left out criminology and sociology departments and are concentrating on criminal justice departments. Glaser inquired if the Board could anticipate a report from the representatives that could disassociate the Society from this report? Scarpitti stated that some guidance from the Board would be appreciated as the final report must be finished before the next ASC Board meeting and the four representatives will need to react to the report.

Scarpitti inquired if the Board was willing to authorize the ASC representatives to the Joint Commission to act in the name of the Board and the Society or if it would require coming back to the Board with the representatives recommendations? Akers responded that the procedure had to be that the representatives respond in their own judgment and discretion as that was the mandate that was given when each was selected to represent the Society on the Commission. Gottfredson inquired if it would be appropriate to have our representatives advise the Commission of the recommendation that they will make to the Board as an official reaction which may influence their final report? Flynn stated that the full Board should be consulted before the final stand is taken. A motion was made by Marc Riedel that the draft report be distributed to the Board with the recommendation of the Society's representatives. The Board members reaction could be solicited and the committee can then determine whether a Board vote on a conference call or special meeting is appropriate. Seconded by Charles McCaghby. Motion carried unanimously.

(Board meeting adjourned at 8:10 p.m., reconvened at 7:30 a.m., November 6, 1980.)

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE REPORT (Attachment #4)

Chris Eskridge distributed copies of his committee's annual report for the Board's consideration. The addendum report covers reasons for people leaving ASC membership and potential recruiting strategies. He reported that the Society loses 20% of their members each year and this number is made up by our recruiting efforts in bringing in new members. He proposed a number of suggestions as to how to increase the retention of members. Eskridge reported that Sage Publications has incorporated a new ASC brochure that they printed in a mailing to approximately 30,000 social science members and that this type of recruitment could eliminate the membership mailings from the Executive Office in the future. Barbara Raffel Price moved the acceptance of the Membership Committee report with the Board's appreciation. Seconded by Elmer Johnson. Motion carried unanimously.

GRANTS AND CONTRACTS COMMITTEE REPORT

Charles Wellford reported that the recent grant the Society received from OCGJET to provide four seminars on the state-of-the-art in "Evaluation Techniques", "Theory", "Statistics", and "Practice" had been most successful. He indicated that the evaluation was not completed yet but from all indications the project had been very successful and that the officials at OCGJET were very pleased with the project. He recommended that the Society once again attempt to secure a grant, possibly this time from the National Institute of Justice. A topic such as adequate research standards might be appropriate for submission. The Society or delegates thereof could look into areas of measurement and provide a meaning-ful contribution to the field on behalf of the Society. He also pointed out that such grants can be run through our own Executive Office now that we have institutional memberships available. President Glaser thanked Wellford for his report and indicated he would ask President-Elect Scarpitti to appoint a committee to look into this matter as quickly as possible.
INTERORGANIZATIONAL RELATIONSHIPS

President Glaser reported that there had been very little activity in exploring potential relationships with other organizations interested in criminology and criminal justice with the one exception being ASC and ACJS. He appointed an ad hoc committee with Albert Reiss, Jr., as chair, to explore relationships with other organizations in the field of criminal justice. He challenged the committee to work closely with the federal government as well as juvenile and adult criminal justice agencies in keeping abreast of changes that we as criminologists should be aware of. The committee might eventually be called the Committee on National Research Policy for Criminology.

PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE REPORT (Attachment #5)

Harry E. Allen reported that the Publications Committee had received three formal offers to act as editor of the Sage Research Progress Series for a three year term. The Committee unanimously voted to recommend the Executive Board select Professor Michael Gottfredson from the State University of New York at Albany as the Research Progress Series editor. The motion passed unanimously.

SITE SELECTION COMMITTEE (Attachment #6)

Harry E. Allen reported that the Site Selection Committee identified eight potential sites in states which had endorsed the Equal Rights Amendment for the 1985 Annual Meeting. The Committee recommended the following sites in rank order as (1) Houston, Texas, (2) Portland, Oregon, and (3) San Diego, California/Denver, Colorado. After considerable discussion, the Board voted to select San Diego as the site for the 1985 Annual Meeting.

EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGE COMMITTEE REPORT

Jill Rosenbaum, co-chair of the Employment Exchange Committee, reported that a number of problems had been encountered in the Employment Exchange room. A number of individuals had attempted to take books listing jobs and/or applicants with them and others had removed resumes or position announcements. She recommended that for future meetings such material be available to members on a cost basis. The Board approved that for future meetings position announcements should be available in bulletin form for members at cost and to institutional members at no charge. Moved by Ronald Akers and seconded by Charles Wellford. Motion carried unanimously.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 9:00 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph E. Scott
Executive Secretary
# THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY
## CASH SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>October 1980</th>
<th>Year-­to-­Date 3/1/80-­10/30/80</th>
<th>1980-­81 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INCOME:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and Marketing</td>
<td>$754.00</td>
<td>$2,101.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising/Membership Directory</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention - 1979 Philadelphia</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>733.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 1980 San Francisco</td>
<td>8,873.54</td>
<td>14,459.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINOLOGY Submission Fees</td>
<td>320.00</td>
<td>900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE CRIMINOLOGIST Newsletter Subscriptions</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dues - Active and Student</td>
<td>1,258.50</td>
<td>29,560.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Three Year</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>1,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Life</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>117.57</td>
<td>1,479.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Directory Sales</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>57.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership List Sales</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCJET Grant</td>
<td>178.60</td>
<td>2,792.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties - CRIMINOLOGY</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Monographs</td>
<td>163.95</td>
<td>456.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Income</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage Income</td>
<td>39.38</td>
<td>39.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$11,909.54</td>
<td>$55,638.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>EXPENDITURES:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admin. Asst. - Salary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and Marketing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awards and Trophies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention - 1979 Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 1980 San Francisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 1981 Washington, D.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINOLOGY JOURNAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE CRIMINOLOGIST Newsletter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dues Refunds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Board Expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Society for Criminology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCJET Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINOLOGY Submission Fees (Univ. of FL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This report covers three areas of concern: (a) program responsibilities, (b) program guidelines, and (c) financial stability and professional quality. I will treat these in order, leaving to a later date a report on program budget and participation when all the data are available.

(a) Program responsibilities. I recommend for the future a more clear delineation and separation of functions for the Program Chair, the Local Arrangements Chair, the Executive Officer, and the Board. When I took over as Program Chair, I found that a number of unrealistic expectations accompanied that role. In the future, I recommend that:

1. the Board set registration fees, decisions on paper sales, and participation rules. The Executive Officer could make suggestions on these.

2. the Executive Officer handle publicity, book exhibits, program printing, announcements, coordination with SAGE and the Editor of the Criminologist, and a recommended program budget based on past years' experiences. Newly appointed Program Chairs do not have the knowledge required in these areas, knowledge which is or could be lodged with the Executive Officer. The year-to-year advantages are obvious.

3. the Local Arrangements Chair be responsible for only that, and be provided a small, separate budget for audio-visual equipment rentals, part-time help, and so on.

4. the Program chair be responsible only for the substance of the program. This task alone, though uncompensated, is equal in time and effort to a 1/4 academic load.

The primary purpose of these recommendations is to provide continuity for the program process by centralizing non-substantive matters in the ASC central office. This will reduce the errors attributable to the annual appointment of ignorant Program and Local Arrangements Chairs.
(b) Program Guidelines. I recommend that the Executive Officer, in consultation with the current outgoing and incoming Program Chairs, develop a written set of guidelines with dates for achievement of specific goals. Included in the Program Guidelines would be such matters as;

1. the responsibilities noted under (a) above,
2. specification of the form of information to be submitted for the preliminary and final programs,
3. specification of the information to be provided for publicity announcements,
4. suggested ways of handling the program administratively,
5. provision of a standard statement for all program announcements; this would include rules of program participation, forms of submission for program entries, information required for acceptance of panels, workshops, etc.,
6. deadlines, dated in terms of weeks and months prior to the week of the convention.

As thus envisioned, the Program Guidelines would be enormously helpful to each new Program Chair, and reduce friction or error emanating from ambiguous or conflicting expectations. A similar recommendation concerning local arrangements guidelines would seem useful as well.

(c) Financial Stability and Professional Quality. My other comments have had to do with mechanics. I now wish to address spiritual matters. My brief message, offered with both hope and respect for the accomplishments of ASC and the Board, is that it is time to redress the balance between concerns for the financial stability of the Society and the quality of its annual program. The latter, I take it, is our principal professional mechanism. Let me be specific.

During the past year, I have seen five decisions made, principally on the basis of bringing money into the Society, which might have adversely affected the professional quality of the program.
1. The registration fees, running from $30 to $45, are set so high that they discourage participation, and especially among our younger and student members, I belong to seven other professional societies: none charges such high convention fees.

2. Student membership fees are currently far too high to encourage membership and therefore to consider participation in the convention.

3. The Board's decision not to pay for audio-visual equipment rentals defeats the very purpose of communication for which convention programs exist. I am in complete accord with Al Blumstein's vitriolic letter to the Board on this subject. Further, I have used my program budget to cover equipment rentals here in San Francisco.

4. The Board's decision not to undertake a collaborative arrangement with the Association for Criminal Justice Research (California) in order to have their meeting coordinated with ours was based strictly on split-fee considerations. ACJRC, accordingly, will be meeting separately. I fail to see what we have gained by this.

5. Last, and absolutely most important, is the Board's instruction that any submitted paper or panel should be accepted for the Program. This practice may indeed bring in more registration money, but it does so at the expense of professional quality and defeats any advantage to be gained by appointing a respected professional as Program Chair. No other society of which I am a member uses this practice; submitted papers elsewhere are screened. Again, I will admit to doing an end-run around the Board on this issue.

I selected and invited a large number of people to develop panels or workshops for this convention. Each one of these was accepted as it came in. The greater part of the program consists of these invited sessions. Beyond these, I then constructed panels out of the unsolicited submissions
which came in prior to the March deadline. This brought us close to
the 132 sessions on the program. I then selected a few more that I
felt were promising and--with the program thus filled to the size of
its Philadelphia predecessor--I rejected more than 75 other requests
for individual paper presentations and panels.
To some considerable extent, then, I "stacked" this convention by
exercising my professional judgment about panel organizers. I'm delighted
to be judged on this basis. But for the future, I urge the Board to
move to a screening and refereeing process for the program, one that will
provide an equitable and less arbitrary standard of quality.
As I understand the situation, ASC is a relatively new professional
society which has grown at a phenomenal rate. During early periods, concern
for size and stability must be paramount. But I also understand that the
meetings in Tuscon, Dallas, and Philadelphia have demonstrated both the stability
and the growth in numbers and standing that guarantee the existence of a
respected professional society. I urge that the habitual priority of income
now be given a lower priority, in favor of standards of quality befitting a major
society. I would hope that one might not again hear a Board conversation stopped
by the phrase "The bottom line is the buck."
You will understand, I trust, that my comments, while couched with a
negative aura, are indeed most positive; I would not bother if I did not care,
nor if I thought the Board did not care.

Malcolm Klein
1980 Program Chair
To: Executive Board of the American Society of Criminology
From: Joseph E. Scott, Executive Secretary
Date: November 5, 1980
Re: ASC 1980 Elections

The committee appointed by ASC President, Dan Glaser met on October 30, 1980, at the ASC executive office to count the ballots for officers and other resolutions on the 1980 ballot.

Harry E. Allen was elected as President-Elect of the ASC
Barbara R. Price was elected as Vice-President Elect of the ASC
Austin Turk and Norval Morris were elected as Executive counselors

There were 13 resolutions and/or constitutional changes voted upon. All but the one resolution, that an editorial board of radical criminologists be established for an issue of criminology, passed. The various resolutions and the vote on each are listed below.

1. Resolution for the establishment of divisions in the ASC.
   - YES: 385
   - NO: 89

2. Resolution for editorial board of Radical Criminologists be established for an issue of Criminology.
   - YES: 163
   - NO: 364

3. Institutional membership shall be available to institutions of higher education.
   - YES: 385
   - NO: 89

4. Executive Board Meetings shall be at least one between Annual Meetings.
   - YES: 389
   - NO: 63

5. Institutional membership dues shall be determined by the Executive Board.
   - YES: 369
   - NO: 88

   - YES: 441
   - NO: 109

7. Appointing of Editor, Associate, Assistant and others by board (eliminate ratification of Editorial Advisory Board).
   - YES: 371
   - NO: 67

8. Deletions of Article 4, Section 1.C
   - YES: 337
   - NO: 98

1980 Annual Meeting - November 5-8 - San Francisco - Sheraton Palace Hotel
Theme: Myths and Realities Guiding New Criminal Justice Trends
9. Procedures for appointing Editor and deletion of Editorial Advisory Board.  
   YES  NO  363  77

10. Publications Committee shall develop policies for all publications of the society.  
    373  69

11. Provide Member Institutions right to vote in ASC elections.  
    353  97

In addition to the election of officers and the eleven (11) resolutions and/or Constitutional changes voted upon, members and students were asked to vote on three alternative proposals for student membership and fees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MEMBERS</th>
<th>STUDENT MEMBERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Keep the present arrangement of half-rate dues but no voting rights of Student Members.</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Eliminate the status of Student Member, giving full voting rights and the same dues charges to all members.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Eliminate the Status of Student Member, giving the same voting rights to all members, but charge only half the regular dues to members who present a certification that they are full time students.</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Divisions may be organized to represent major professional interests that lie within the scope of the Society as stated in the Constitution, Preamble II. Purpose and Objectives: Any member in good standing of the Society may apply for membership in a Division.

2. A Division may be established whenever three percent or more of the members in good standing of the Society petition (see 3) the Executive Board and receive Board approval. Two-thirds affirmative vote of those present at any meeting of the Executive Board is required for the establishment of a new Division. The Board may create a Division provided that (a) the Division represents an active and functionally unitary interest of a group of members, (b) its proposed objectives fall within the scope of those specified in the Society's Constitution, (c) its membership is not restricted on any bases other than interests and Society membership and, (d) the establishment of any new Division is not inimical to the objectives of the Society or any other Division already established. Divisions may use a distinct name, provided they append it to the phrase: "A Division of The American Society of Criminology".

3. A petition for the formation of a new Division shall include in its prefatory statement the following: a statement of the proposed name and purpose of the Division, relevant evidence that the petitioners "represent an active and functionally unitary interest of a group of members", the names of the member or members sponsoring the petition or speaking for the petitioners and a statement that the individual petitioners ask for and will accept membership in the Division if it is established. The names of the petitioners should be followed by their ASC mailing address to assist in the unambiguous identification of the signers. The petition shall be transmitted to the Executive Secretary with designation of some individual who will speak for the petitioners in further communications. The Executive Board shall determine at its next regular meeting that the petition conforms to the technical requirements of the Rules. If it finds the petition in order, it shall invite the membership to comment in writing to the Board within the next 90 days. At a subsequent meeting, the Board shall consider the petition on its merits, together with supplementary materials and comments, and shall by a two-thirds vote either approve or reject the petition. If the petitioners choose, they may submit a statement amplifying the petition to make clear why they seek Division status. Following favorable action by the Executive Board, a new Division is constituted when it holds its first business meeting at the ASC Annual Meeting.

4. A Division shall be dissolved by the Executive Board when (a) the number of members within the Division falls below three percent of the members of the Society in good standing averaged over a two (2) year period, or (b) the Division votes to recommend dissolution. The Executive Board may also dissolve a Division for good and sufficient reason by a two-thirds vote of those present at a Board meeting, provided that the reason for dissolution is stated in writing by the Executive Board to the membership of the Division and that the Division membership has been given full opportunity to state the reasons for the continued existence of the Division.

5. A Division shall have a chairperson and such officers as it may desire. The qualifications for its officers and the number and method of their election shall be determined by the Division with approval of the Executive Board.

6. A Division shall draw up and maintain its own rules of procedure within the framework of the Society's By-Laws. Each Division shall file with the Executive Board a copy of its current regulations, and a list of its current officers and committees.

7. A Division may set dues. Such dues must be approved by the Executive Board and shall be collected and disbursed by the Treasurer of the Society subject to the rules and regulations of the Society.

8. A Division shall not enter into contracts, shall not own, publish, or manage a journal, or hold an annual meeting or convention that is not in conjunction with the Society's Annual Meeting. Divisions may not make awards or give out honors without Executive Board approval. Administrative functions of the Division may be handled by the Central Office, at the Division's expense.
#2] RESOLUTION FOR EDITORIAL BOARD OF RADICAL CRIMINOLOGISTS
BE ESTABLISHED FOR AN ISSUE OF CRIMINOLOGY

We, the members of the Radical Caucus of the ASC, think that the recent issue of CRIMINOLOGY devoted to radical criminology was not representative of the diverse perspectives of radical criminology. Resolved that an editorial board made up of the following radical criminologists be established to select articles for a new issue of CRIMINOLOGY to be devoted to radical criminology. That board would consist of Drew Humphries, Jim Brady, Karl Schumann, Barry Krisberg, Anika Snare, and Per Stangeland.

PRO STATEMENT - Harold E. Pepinsky, Associate Editor, CRIMINOLOGY

This resolution offers an exciting prospect for us in ASC to gain new perspectives on our field. Despite sincere efforts, the special issue of solicited articles in CRIMINOLOGY on "radical criminology" last February scarcely conveyed the richness of radical literature. The resolution is a constructive response to the problem. Much of the radical literature has not been published in English, let alone in the United States, and has therefore been inaccessible to American criminologists. There is considerable depth of radical research within a diversity of networks of criminologists both here and abroad. With a variety of backgrounds and interests, including access to European literature in languages other than English, the proposed special editorial board is well equipped to solicit, review and select a wealth of new material to include in an issue of our ASC journal.

CON STATEMENT - Donal E.J. MacNamara, Charles L. Neuman and James A. Inciardi, Past Editors and Current Editor, CRIMINOLOGY

CRIMINOLOGY: AN INTERDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL is a refereed professional journal which under successive independent editors has achieved acceptance and recognition not only among criminologists in the United States but also among behavioral scientists throughout the world. There is no constitutional provision, by-law or precedent in The American Society of Criminology which would justify the annual business meeting dictating editorial policy, designating editors, or selecting journal content.

While two of us share certain views of the radical criminologists and one rejects this viewpoint completely, we all support their right to their views but see no justification for acceding to this demand. CRIMINOLOGY should and does solicit contributions from criminologists of all ideological persuasions; these contributions are reviewed by panels which are not and should not be politically screened; articles which survive this screening are published whether or not the editors agree or disagree with the views expressed; and the pages of CRIMINOLOGY are open to rebutting comments (or even contrary articles). This is as it should be. Vote "NU" on the resolution.
CONSTITUTION

Article VI. Membership

Yes: 385  No: 89

Add a Section 6 as follows:

Institutional membership shall be available to any accredited institution of higher education offering a bona fide degree in the discipline of criminology (as defined in the preamble, and regardless of the actual designation of the degree's field, or other organizations. Membership will be achieved upon review of the application by the Treasurer and majority vote on the application by the Executive Board.

By-Laws

Article 1. Executive Board Meetings

Yes: 389  No: 63

Article 1, Section 2 of the By-Laws, which now reads:

There shall be at least two (2) Board meetings between Annual Meetings.

to be changed to:

There shall be at least one (1) Board meeting between Annual Meetings.

Article 2. Membership dues

Yes: 369  No: 88

Add to Article 2, a Section 5, as follows:

The annual dues for institutional memberships shall be determined by the Executive Board.

Article 4. Publications

Yes: 441  No: 109

Article 4, Section 1, Journal Publications, which now reads:

The Society shall publish a journal entitled CRIMINOLOGY: AN INTERDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL, which shall be distributed without additional charge to members in good standing. Additional subscriptions to the journal shall be sold at a rate designated to underwrite at least the actual cost thereof and approved by the Board.

to be changed to:

The Society shall publish a journal entitled CRIMINOLOGY: AN INTERDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL, which shall be distributed to all members and member institutions in good standing. Additional subscriptions to the journal shall be sold at a rate designated to underwrite at least the actual cost thereof and approved by the Board.

Article 4, Section 1A, which now reads:

Yes: 371  No: 67

Composition. The Editor-in-Chief shall appoint and be assisted by Associate, Assistant, departmental and other editors, upon ratification by the Executive Board and the Editorial Advisory Board.

to be changed to:

Composition. The Editor shall appoint and be assisted by Associate, Assistant, departmental and other editors, upon ratification by the Executive Board.

Article 4, Section 1C, which now reads:

Yes: 337  No: 98

The Editorial Advisory Board will review the policy of the journal and will make recommendations to the Editor-in-Chief and the Society's Board. Members of the Editorial Advisory Board shall be appointed by the Chairperson subject to approval by the Executive Board.

to be changed to:

ENTIRE SECTION DELETED.

Article 4, Section 1D, which now reads:

Yes: 363  No: 77

Term. The Editor-in-Chief and the Chairperson of the Editorial Advisory Board are appointed for a three-year term by the President and ratified by the Executive Board. Both cannot be appointed in the same year. All other editors shall serve at the pleasure of the Editor. All members of the Editorial Advisory Board shall likewise serve at the pleasure of the Chairperson of the Board.

to be changed to:

Term. The Editor is appointed for a three-year term by the President and ratified by the Executive Board. All Assistant, Associate, and department editors shall serve at the pleasure of the Editor.

Article 4, Section 2. Publications Committee

Yes: 373  No: 69

Article 4, Section 2B, which now reads:

Powers. The Publications Committee shall assume responsibility for all publications of the Society, other than the Journal, developing editorial and administrative policies.

to be changed to:

Powers. The Publications Committee shall develop editorial and administrative policies for all publications of the Society for approval by the Board.

Article 4, Section 3. Voting Procedures

Yes: 353  No: 97

Article 4, Section 3B, which now reads:

Voting. All members in good standing on the first day of the month preceding the mailing of the ballot shall be entitled to vote. Election will be by a majority of all those casting ballots. Voting shall be in such a manner as to protect privacy of choice.

to be changed to:

Voting. All members and member institutions in good standing on the first day of the month preceding the mailing of the ballot shall be entitled to vote. Election will be by a majority of all those casting ballots. Voting shall be in such a manner as to protect privacy of choice.
The American Society of Criminology presently offers full-time students the option of being an Active Member with full-voting privileges and full dues, or being a Student Member with no voting privileges but half the regular dues. The Student Affairs Committee has proposed that the status of Student Member be eliminated, but that all members be charged dues based on a sliding scale that is dependent on what they declare to the Society is their income. The Society's Executive Board rejected the proposal for a sliding scale of dues on the grounds that it is subject to abuse by persons declaring less than their full income, and that it is difficult to administer. The Board, however, expressed an interest in encouraging full participation by students in the Society's affairs. It noted that students comprise only about ten percent of total membership, and that these are mostly dedicated graduate students or advanced undergraduates who contemplate careers in criminology. Members are asked to vote on the following alternatives.

- **W 99** 4  
  Keep the present arrangement of half-rate dues but no voting rights for Student Members.

- **24** 1  
  Eliminate the status of Student Member, giving full voting rights and the same dues charges to all members.

- **113** 29  
  Eliminate the status of Student Member, giving the same voting rights to all members, but charge only half the regular dues to members who present a certification that they are full-time students.

R.J.L.  
10/3/80
TO: Executive Board and Members
FROM: Membership Committee
DATE: October 31, 1980
RE: Annual Report

Introduction

In keeping with the tradition of reporting on Committee activities at the annual business meeting of the society, the Membership Committee hereby submits its report on activities and attainments during the last 12 month period.

Tasks

The major tasks of this committee have been to identify potential members and to initiate their recruitment through either personal or mailed invitations. The former included attendance at regional and national conferences, symposia and conventions, as well as soliciting membership among colleagues and students. Brochures were distributed at the following meetings:

Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences
Midwest Association of Criminal Justice Educators
National Association of Blacks in Criminal Justice
National Symposium on Pretrial Services
Society for the Study of Social Problems
Western Society of Criminology

To address the mailed invitation process, names and addresses of potential members were secured from national and regional membership directories, lists of conference participants, and other related sources. These included the following:

Academy of Criminal Justice Sciences
Alabama Council on Crime and Delinquency
American Medical Association Division on Correctional Activities

1980 Annual Meeting - November 5-8 - San Francisco - Sheraton Palace Hotel
Theme: Myths and Realities Guiding New Criminal Justice Trends
American Sociological Association
California Association for Criminal Justice Research
California Crime Prevention Offices Association
California Chiefs of Police
Criminal Justice Education Directory
Criminal Justice Statistics Association
Kentucky Department of Justice
National Conference on Mass Transit
National Correctional Association
National Correctional Recreational Association
Oklahoma Criminal Justice Educators Association
Society for Police and Criminal Psychology
Technical Assistance Resource Center Personnel

In addition to the materials already received, we have requested membership lists of several other organizations, as noted below:

American Academy of Judicial Education
American Academy of Psychiatry and Law
Center for the Study of Values
Conference on Computer Related Crime
Conference on Mass Transit Crime
Conference to Meet the Needs of Female Offenders
National District Attorney's Association
Northeastern Association of Criminal Justice Educators
Southwestern Academy of Crisis Interveners
Southern Association of Criminal Justice Educators
Symposium on Restitution and Community Service Sentencing

Brochures were also distributed to every known Criminal Justice/Criminology-type Department in the country using information from the IACP, Criminal Justice Education Directory. Sage Publications has sent brochures to thousands of our colleagues who are affiliated with social sciences-type departments across the country. We have also compiled a list of authors who have published in Criminal Justice/ Criminology journals and have supplied them with information regarding ASC.

**Results**

A total of 373 new members were recruited during the past 12 months. Of those 373, 230 are active members and 143 are student members. The data presented in Table 1 lists new members by month from 1977 through 1980.

The membership growth by calendar year is presented in Table 2. Note that only 10 months of 1980 have been included in these figures.
Finally, the structure of the membership is detailed in Table 3. At this point in time, there are 1,568 members in good standing of the total of 4,212 members on our rolls. This is 37 percent of all members on our rolls.

Concerns

We are somewhat concerned with the fact that the number of active members seems to be declining. It is important to note that our membership figures are on a one year cycle. Recall that our membership year extends from March 1 through February 28. Consequently, the largest number of members will always be as of February 28. Our concern is not that the current membership figures are less than the February figures, but that the current figures are more than 10 percent behind last year's pace. As of October 31, 1979, there were 1,757 members in good standing compared to 1,568 on October 31, 1980.

It appears that there are two areas needing improvement; acquisition and retention. The data from Table 2 reveal a very steady decline in new membership growth for the past 5 years. We are especially disturbed with the 1980 figures. While the final count has not been made we estimate that approximately 12,000 invitations were distributed to Criminal Justice/Criminology-types within the past 10 months, with only 150 joining as active members and 87 joining as students. In addition, it appears that 30 percent of this year's members will not renew their memberships next year, if the current non-renewal trend continues. In sum, we are beginning to experience some difficulty in acquiring new members and retaining the existing ones. We request that the Executive Board carefully consider this information and pass along any suggestions and ideas to the incoming Membership Committee.

With this report, the duties of the Membership Committee have been fulfilled and we ask to be discharged.

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE

Thomas Barker
Thomas G. Blomberg
Lee H. Bowker
Theresa Connor
James Hackler
James Henney
Edward J. Latessa
Sloan T. Letman

J. Robert Lilly
George D. Muedeking
Karen M. Onifer
Alice M. Propper
Robert M. Regoli
Gennaro F. Vito
Joseph G. Weis
Chris W. Eskridge, Chair
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>1978 Active</th>
<th>1978 Student</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>1979 Active</th>
<th>1979 Student</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>1980 Active</th>
<th>1980 Student</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>150*</td>
<td>87*</td>
<td>237*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For ten months ending October 31, 1980
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>New Members</th>
<th>Percent of 1980 Total</th>
<th>cf</th>
<th>Percent Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970 and before</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>230</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td>426</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td>586</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td>906</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>649</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,555</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>886</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,441</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>618</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,059</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>462</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,521</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>454</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,975</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>237*</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,212</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For ten months ending October 31, 1980*
### TABLE 3
STRUCTURE OF ASC MEMBERSHIP AS OF
OCTOBER 31, 1980

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Membership</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>cf</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>1,078</td>
<td>1,078</td>
<td>68.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 year</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,136</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Members</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1,158</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband &amp; Wife</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,201</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeritus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ACTIVE MEMBERS</strong></td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td></td>
<td>78.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>1,562</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband &amp; Wife</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,568</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL STUDENT MEMBERS</strong></td>
<td>343</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>1,568</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Addendum

Why are people leaving ASC?

1. Some people join at the annual convention, since it's possible that their institutions will reimburse for the registration fee, and said members use that opportunity to pass membership costs on to their institutions, and do not renew when not participating.

2. Some members may feel that since they are participating in the convention, they ought to join, but do not renew when not participating.

3. Some persons join with the expectation that this will ensure them a place on the program, and fail to renew when this assumption is not fulfilled.

4. Members lose interest/employment in the criminal justice system, and feel little interest in renewing.

5. We lose track of some members, and without forwarding addresses, the Postal Service cannot forward our billing statements.

6. Some members have primary disciplines (Sociology, Psychology, etc.), and when in financial straits, opt to renew only their primary discipline memberships.

7. Sage Publications occasionally "loses" our instructions to add new members to their mailing lists, which cuts those persons off from our newsletter and journal. Irate, they decide that it was the Society's fault and drop out.

8. Newly joining members have unrealistic expectations of the Society and its services.

9. Some persons retire.

10. Some members are choosing to drop their ASC membership in favor of membership in ACJS.

Potential recruities strategies:

1. More aggressive mailing to academic institutions offering degrees in Criminal Justice/Criminology.

2. Utilize Sage Publication resources more fully.
3. Obtain more diversified mailing lists.

4. Reduce membership fees.

5. Reduce membership fees for faculty members from institutional members.

6. Computerize membership records and recruitment lists to reduce the tremendous cost of membership drives, and general membership mailings for that matter. This will eliminate such present time consuming efforts as label preparation, envelope addressing and sorting, and checking for duplications in the lists. It is my understanding that ACJS has moved in this direction.