ASC EXECUTIVE BOARD MINUTES

May 12, 1979

Columbus-Sheraton Hotel
Columbus, Ohio

Members Present: Ronald L. Akers
Harry E. Allen
William E. Amos
John Clark
Daniel Glaser
James Hackler
C. Ronald Huff
Charles H. McCaghy
Barbara Raffel Price
Walter C. Reckless
Marc Riecel
Frank Scarpitti
Joseph E. Scott
Charles Thomas
Charles Wellford
Guest: Chris Eskridge

President Ronald L. Akers called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. The minutes of February 3, 1979 were corrected to show Phyllis Jo Baunach as a guest at the meeting and otherwise approved.

Four proxies were forwarded for today's meeting: Frank Scarpitti had a proxy for James Inciardi; Charles Wellford had a proxy for Marvin Krohn, and Harry Allen had proxies for Edith Flynn and C. Ray Jeffery.

Treasurer's Report

Charles McCaghy reported that the Society is in good financial condition at this time. He requested Board members to return their travel expense forms promptly to the ASC office for reimbursement. If funds are available, he will endeavor to reimburse the balances that were not reimbursed from the February 3 Board meeting. He reported the total membership as of May 11, 1979, is 1,245. He asked the Board's opinion of a recent husband-wife membership statement and check he received for $300, and wanted to know if this category could be accepted, as the Society does not presently have a husband-wife life membership, only life membership. A motion was made by James Hackerl that the Society accept husband-wife membership and create an ad hoc category of joint life membership for husband-wife in this case without necessarily setting a precedent for future directions of the Board. Seconded by Charles Thomas; motion carried unanimously.

A motion was made by Barbara Price and seconded by Charles Thomas to approve the applications for membership for April and through May 11. Motion carried unanimously.
CRIMINOLOGY Report

President Akers reported he had received a letter from Paul Takagi stating he planned to drop his membership in the Society and was urging his students and colleagues to do the same regarding the professional standards of scholarly publications of the Society. He stated that Inciardi was using the journal to grind his own ideological ax. President Akers reported he had responded to Takagi's letter. The issue that Takagi was referring to was the February issue on Radical Criminology which Akers informed him were invited papers which were not rejected. Takagi responded again informing him that the publication in question was Akers'. Scarpitti replied that there had been some controversy generated regarding Klockars paper and stated he has urged Inciardi to explain the problem in future issues of the newsletter relative to the balance of the papers in the radical criminology journal.

1979 Annual Meeting Report

Akers reported that the meetings are in good shape and a report in the April issue of the newsletter details basically the way the program will be set up. The preliminary program will go out in the July issue of the newsletter. As near as the committee is able to tell, there will be approximately 90 panels and will cover the four major areas announced previously. Akers reported he has become involved more in the program planning and has taken charge of the plenary speakers who are the major awardees. All award winners have agreed to come and present plenary sessions. He reported that no money has been spent in securing these speakers; Andenaes has secured funds from the German Marshall Fund to attend the meeting. Akers is also organizing two sets of roundtable type of sessions: (1) approximately 10-11 colloquia with well known, distinguished criminologists, practitioners and academicians. Participants will be required to sign up for these sessions. (2) Roundtables where mass papers will be presented -- three to five papers not fitted in to the regular panels. Akers reported he may come to the Board to seek individual help as moderators of these sessions. He reported that the Local Arrangements Committee have their plans well underway. In regards to the meeting budget, he reported they were well on target; in fact, well below it. He reported a membership mailing will ou out probably in August with the preliminary program, pre-registration forms, hotel reservations cards, etc. Scott reported that he had received several inquires from people stating they had had no response from the Program Committee regarding their appearance on the program; in particular the film festival inquiries. Akers noted that there had been some problems, but that all people will be responded to very shortly.

Amos inquired as to the status of the foreign criminologists that he is to serve as host to, as he has heard nothing further on the matter. Akers reported that Paul Friday is coordinating these efforts and a group from Sweden should be attending the meeting and will ask Friday to get in touch with Amos. Barbara Price reported she had 27 confirmed panels, and Ben Ward has confirmed 30 panels. Akers noted that the Student Paper Competition winners will need to be included in the program and that space should be made available for their papers.

Huff inquired if anything had been done regarding the alternative printing costs of the annual program as discussed at the Washington Board meeting. Akers replied that five estimates had been received, which all looked good, but no final decision has been made. He said they were leaning toward Columbus or close by and that all costs submitted were well below the printing costs of previous meetings.

The Horowitz material regarding the exhibit income generated thus far was discussed. The material Horowitz has been sending out to publishers is not of high quality and
Akers stated that Cohn had suggested that a professional brochure could be printed that would be used by Horowitz and the Society listing rates, benefits of exhibiting, etc. and sent to all publishers. Akers noted it is a little early to tell how much the effort Horowitz is putting in will benefit the Society. Scott noted that ACJS had 32 exhibits at their meeting in Cincinnati and suggested that Horowitz's performance be examined at the November meeting. Scott also suggested that if the results of Horowitz's efforts were not considered significant by the Board in November, that the Society might consider looking at a person with the organization to head up the exhibit efforts, rather than the program chair each year handling exhibits. Akers reported that the meeting will basically go three one-half days, beginning at noon on Wednesday and ending at noon on Saturday.

1980 Annual Meeting Report

Daniel Glaser reported that he has asked Malcom Klein of the University of Southern California to serve as Program Chair for the 1980 Annual Meeting in San Francisco. Barry Kirsberg, NCCD Caucus Head, will serve as Local Arrangements Chair. Glaser reported he had spoken to Cohn and Hirschi regarding their experiences with the Philadelphia meeting, and has decided not to decentralize the program structure. He has put together eight names that he would like to have on his Program Committee: Harry E. Allen, Paul Lerman, Lois DeFleur, Theodore Ferdinand, Sarnoff Mednick, Richard Sparks, Gordon Waldo, and David Wexler. He suggested that the Philadelphia program could list the eight names and urge members to forward papers to Klein for the 1980 meeting. No theme has been picked as yet, but they had talked in terms of integrating criminology with the more abstract behavior and social science theory. All papers will be sent to Klein who will then distribute them to the various committee members. The Program Committee will be the individuals that work with developing the units of the program.

OCJET Proposal Report

Harry Allen reported that the OCJET project has been approved for the faculty development workshops to run during the summer of 1980 on theory, evaluation research, methodology, etc. The Executive Board members will not be in the roles of evaluation or teaching. The Board will be asked to help make decisions as part of its role as policy and steering committee. Under this role, the grant will permit a portion of Board travel for three Executive Board meetings up to $150 per meeting to be reimbursed. Claims for travel reimbursement (travel expenses only) should be sent directly to Allen, and he will send carbons back to the ASC office. The February and May 1980 Board meetings will be covered under this grant. Approximately $4800 will be available to underwrite the cost of these two Board meetings plus travel for this meeting. Per diem costs go directly to the ASC office. Secondly, he reported, that ASC proposed in this grant to generate some in-kind contribution from the Board under the three meetings that it would contribute so that the sum of $10,200 would be available. Forms were distributed to the Board members by Allen and gone over in detail, step by step, as to the procedure for filling out the forms and mailing them to Allen and the ASC office. Two bids to evaluate the workshops were distributed to the Board for their consideration -- Harry Springer and Charles V. Matthews. A motion was made by Frank Scarpitti and seconded by John Clark that the evaluation contract be awarded to Charles Matthews. Motion carried unanimously.

Allen stated he would like some time in the next month to generate names of people that would be appropriate to serve as curriculum coordinators and requested input from the Board. It will not be until February before the coordinators are selected. He
stated the selection process will begin at the November Board meeting and he will have a slate to present at that meeting.

Amos inquired if the Society could assist in the Western meeting next February to be held in Newport Beach, California. Allen reported that it is also the 50th Anniversary of his department at San Jose. Amos stated it would be most appropriate next year to do something since the Annual Meeting will be in San Francisco and San Jose is close by.

Akers expressed the Board's appreciation to Allen for his work in securing the OCJET proposal and for the work he will be doing as director of the project. He wanted to acknowledge Charles Wellford's efforts in making the initial contacts, writing the proposal and the outstanding job he had done for the Society in securing the OCJET proposal. Akers stated that the Board still needs to be skeptical of what the grant is doing for the Society, and if we should try for other grants. Akers noted that it should be pointed out to the membership that the Board is doing something that will benefit the Society in this regard. The grant could result in reduced costs for members or full costs for other people, but should be a moneymaker for the Society.

Clark inquired if the mailings for the seminars could be made available to other organizational lists, as well as the Society. Wellford replied that the focus was in the teaching area and could only be made available to ASC members. Amos inquired if there was anything in the contract that limits student participation versus full-time teaching. Wellford replied that it was full-time teaching of criminology and criminal justice. Allen reported that the Society could not underwrite the cost of the attendance. There is a $70 tuition fee and outsiders would be excluded from reimbursement. Amos inquired if there could be some overrun that agencies could pay and send participants. Scarpitti inquired if the Society wanted to get in the business of handing out certificates to come to programs like this; there are pros and cons involved. There is a big market out there and the Society has a great deal of prestige and certificates would be very viable for these people.

Akers replied that Wellford's original thoughts on these training seminars should be built on our strengths in providing training. These strengths do not lie in the training of personnel but rather in academics teaching. That should be the strength of emphasis. Later on we might get into other things.

Glaser reported that on May 21 the Social Science Research Institute is conducting a training program that will help post doctoral students in all disciplines. They would welcome a number of graduate students and applications should be sent to their Institute. The Social Science Research Council meets the third week of May and hope to start their program by July 1. There are no restrictions. The title is "Training in the Evaluation and Monitoring of Deviance Control".

Joint Commission on Criminology and Criminal Justice Education and Standards

Allen reported the Commission has been funded for the second and last fiscal cycle in the amount of $289,328. Vince Webb has been selected as the principal investigator and the project has been moved to Chicago Circle. Wellford stated in his opinion the project would not bring any great credit to anyone connected with it. Scott said he saw ACJS going on ahead very strongly with the accreditation efforts, and they are citing the Commission's efforts as an endorsement for their accreditation efforts.
Ad Hoc Committee on Policy Research

In Edith Flynn's absence, Marc Riedel reported that at a recent meeting of directors of criminal justice research centers, the relation of LEAA's RFP program to the direction of research and how it was influencing research brought up the question of ethics and secrecy. There was a resolution that an informal committee be appointed to study the whole issue and make recommendations to the directors. The committee consists of Edith Flynn, Marc Riedel and Pat Murphy. Riedel said at the present time he would not be in favor of asking for any ad hoc committee until it is determined what is happening with the directors. Issue of the problem: (1) RFP program with LEAA -- since LEAA is in charge of sending out RFP's, is LEAA determining the direction of research in criminology and criminal justice? If so, what kind of input do they have, how do they relate to accreditation questions and values? He stated that they needed our kind of input into LEAA as to funding directions.

Request for ASC Sponsorship at AAAS Symposium

Scott distributed copies of a request he had received for ASC to sponsor a session at the AAAS Symposium. Akers noted that Edith Flynn was the Society's representative to AAAS and was authorized to set up panels. Scott stated if there was no objection, he would contact Edith and tell her that she has the authorization to set up panels and has, in fact, stated she would have a panel on ethics at the symposium. Allen reported that he was speaking on behalf of Flynn who will be preparing a panel for the February 1980 San Francisco meeting on "Violence in America".

Awards Committee Report

Charles Thomas reported his committee has completed their task and has nothing further to report. Akers stated that all award winners have been notified and will be in attendance at Philadelphia. They will not be presented their awards at the time of their plenary sessions, but will receive their awards at the banquet.

Scarpitti inquired if the Program Committee was still planning an banquet and dinner dance. Akers replied that it was still being planned, but nothing has been finalized as yet. Akers noted that after the Atlanta and Dallas meeting, he had received input from a number of members that one of the problems with the banquet was that it dragged on and then abruptly stopped. There was no kind of socializing function to it, and although there were some negative responses to the suggestion of a dinner dance, the Committee felt they should at least give it a try and will try to have it done as economically as possible. If this cannot be arranged, then a dinner dance will not be held.

Thomas suggested that the Awards Committee and the Fellows Committee work together in the future and possibly could be made into one committee. Clark suggested that the Fellows be listed in this year's annual program. Akers noted that any nominations for Fellows should be sent directly to the chair, John Conrad. Wellford suggested that the Fellows Committee develop some recommendations on standards for selecting Fellows so that the Board would be in a better position to select appropriate candidates. Amos noted that he would follow up the Board's suggestions with the committee.

The question of every participant being required to register for the annual meeting was discussed. A motion was made by Harry Allen and seconded by Charles Thomas to remove from the program names of participants that do not preregister for the meeting with the exception that the president might authorize or specially invited people. Motion carried unanimously.
the committee appointments. Scarpitti suggested that the six Executive Counselors be increased to 8, each serving two year terms. Eight would serve the purpose of reducing the size of the Board if the editor and one Executive Counselor were eliminated — decepting the Board by one third. Hackler noted that this suggestion could be accommodated by using 8, 4 elected each year to serve two year terms. Akers noted the Board would then consist of the President, Vice President, President-Elect, Vice President-Elect, 6 elected Executive Counselors, Secretary, Treasurer and Past President. Of those 13, all but two would be elected.

A motion was made by Charles Wellford and seconded by Charles Thomas to move the acceptance of six elected Executive Counselors. Motion carried. (For: 10 - Opposed 5).

V. Elections, Section A - change the phrase, "at least two nominees" to "at least THREE nominees for each office."

Charles Thomas suggested that the recommendation be reworded to state no more than 3 nominees for each office.

A motion was made by Charles McCaghy and seconded by C. Ronald Huff to accept the recommendation of the committee of at least three nominees for each office for Article V-A Elections. Motion defeated. (For: 7 - Opposed: 8).

V. Elections, Section B - delete the sentences which begin with, "Candidates for President-Elect ... for Counselors, the two candidates that receive the most votes shall be elected." REPLACE this section with the following phrase, "A PREFERENTIAL BALLOT SYSTEM, CHOSEN BY THE EXECUTIVE BOARD, WILL BE USED TO SELECT THE PRESIDENT-ELECT, VICE PRESIDENT-ELECT AND COUNSELORS."

McCaghy inquired if there had been any problems with the current election process. Hackler noted that the committee felt there should be only two candidates to avoid run-offs. Charles Thomas spoke in opposition to increasing the number on the ballot as ambiguity would increase and noted that if there were two or three names on the ballot, it was also possible to get the most common denominator rather than choice. Riedel noted that it was a good idea to enlarge the amount of input but he would need to know what kind of preferential ballot the Board was agreeing on.

Hackler explained what he meant by preferential balloting was you start with the system that the ASA is going to use. If you do not have a clear majority, then you take the last name on your list and take all those ballots and recount their second ballots and add them to the vote again and see if you reach your majority of 40%. Go to the next name and take their second choice and redistribute again until you reach a clear majority. Everyone has to rank order their choices — first and second. Question calls for those in favor of the proposal to delete the sentences from V-B and to replace with preferential ballot. For: 5 - Opposed: 11 - PROPOSAL DEFEATED.

By-Laws

Article 1 - Section 2. delete - "There shall be at least two (2) Board meetings between Annual Meetings"

A motion was made by Barbara Price and seconded by Frank Scarpitti that there shall be at least one Board meeting between Annual Meetings. Motion carried. (For: 10 - Opposed: 5). Glaser requested that, if necessary, he be allowed to substitute a meeting electronically.
Article 4 - Publications.

Section 1. Journal Publication

A. delete the last five words, "and the Editorial Advisory Board".

A motion was made by John Clark and seconded by Barbara Price to delete "and the Editorial Advisory Board" from Section 1-A of Article V. Motion carried.

Section 1-C. Delete all of Section C - "The Editorial Advisory Board will review the policy of the Journal and will make recommendations to the Editor-in-chief and the Society's Board. Members of the Editorial Advisory Board shall be appointed by the chairperson subject to approval by the Executive Board.

A motion was made by Charles Thomas to delete Section 1-C from Article 4 of the By-Laws; seconded by Charles McCaghy. Motion carried unanimously. It was suggested that the Society not have a separate Editorial Advisory Board for the journal and that the Publications Committee would take care of these matters in their committee.

Section 1-D. Term. The Editor in-chief-and-the-Chairperson-of-the-Editorial Advisory-Board IS appointed for a three year term by the President and ratified by the Executive Board. Both-cannot-be-appointed-in-the-same-year. All other-editors ASSISTANT, ASSOCIATE, AND DEPARTMENT EDITORS shall serve at the pleasure of the Editor. All members-of-the-Editorial-Advisory-Board-shall-likewise-serve-at-the-pleasure-of the-Chairperson-of-the-Board.

Motion to accept changes in Section 1-D of Article 4 was made by Charles Thomas; seconded by Charles McCaghy. Motion carried unanimously.

The Editor is appointed for a three year term by the President and ratified by the Executive Board. All Assistant, Associate, and Department Editors shall serve at the pleasure of the Editor.

Section 2 - New Section

A. THE SOCIETY SHALL ALSO PUBLISH AT THE DISCRETION OF THE EXECUTIVE BOARD A NEWSLETTER, THE CRIMINOLOGIST, WHICH SHALL BE DISTRIBUTED WITHOUT ADDITIONAL CHARGE TO ALL MEMBERS IN GOOD STANDING. ADDITIONAL SUBSCRIPTIONS TO THE NEWSLETTER SHALL BE SOLD AT A RATE DESIGNATED TO UNDERWRITE AT LEAST THE COST THEREOF AND APPROVED BY THE BOARD.

Wellford spoke against this addition to the by-laws, as well as Frank Scarpitti. Riedel stated this should be left to the discretion of the Publications Committee.

Proposed Section 2-A failed for lack of a motion.

B. THE EDITOR OF THE CRIMINOLOGIST IS APPOINTED FOR A THREE YEAR TERM BY THE PRESIDENT AND RATIFIED BY THE EXECUTIVE BOARD. THE EDITOR SHALL APPOINT ASSOCIATE, ASSISTANT, OR DEPARTMENT EDITORS WHO SHALL SERVE AT THE EDITOR'S PLEASURE UPON RATIFICATION BY THE EXECUTIVE BOARD.

Proposed Section 2-B failed for lack of a motion.

Section 2. Publications Committee

B. Powers. The Publications Committee shall assume responsibility DEVELOP EDITORIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES for all publications of the Society FOR APPROVAL BY THE BOARD. OTHER THAN THE JOURNAL, DEVELOPING EDITORIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES.
A motion was made by Charles Thomas and seconded by Charles McCaghdy that the Publications Committee shall develop editorial and administrative policies for all publications of the Society for approval by the Board (Section 2-B, Powers, Publications Committee, By-Laws). Motion carried.

Section 3. Voting Procedures

A. Nominations: Change the second to the last sentence which reads, "at least two nominees for each office" to read, "at least THREE nominees"

Proposed change defeated.

B. Voting: Change the sentence which reads, "election will be by majority of all those casting ballots," to read, "election will be ACCORDING TO A PREFERENTIAL BALLOT."

Proposed change defeated.

C. Counselors: Change the sentence which reads, "two Counselors shall be elected annually to serve for three-year terms," to read," THREE Counselors shall be elected annually to serve for TWO-year terms."

Proposed change passed.

Constitution - Article III-B. Committee Chairpersons

Chairpersons of the Society committees who are not members of the Executive Board may attend its meetings without having voting rights. Such other persons as the President and Board deems appropriate may also attend upon invitation, without voting rights.

Wellford noted that normally, Board meetings are closed to members and generally it is only chairpersons or invitations by the Board that people are permitted to attend. Wellford stated that Board meetings should be open to everyone and suggested dropping "B" and substituting an open door policy with still the right of executive session. Wellford proposed that this amendment be sent to the Constitutional Revision and By-Laws Committee for proper wording. Glaser proposed that all meetings of the Executive Board should be open for attendance by any member of the Society, without voting rights, unless the majority of the Board votes for a closed session.

Scott suggested that all ideas be referred to the Constitutional Revision and By-Laws Committee for their consideration. Barbara Price moved and seconded by Joseph Scott to table and leave to the Constitution Committee. Passed unanimously. Harry Allen brought up the matter of institutional membership. He will prepare the information and forward to James Hackler for the committee's consideration.

Ethics Committee

In the absence of Edith Flynn, Joe Scott reported that the Ethics Committee is in its first year of functioning and did not have a great deal to offer at this meeting. There will be a panel session at the Annual Meeting in Philadelphia entitled "Ethics and Research in Teaching in Criminal Justice" to be chaired by Joe Scott with Edith Flynn as discussant.
Task Force A Report

Wellford reported that the past president of ACJS, Richter Moore, appointed a committee to work with ASC's committee on the possibility of a merger of the two organizations. Wellford reported he never received in writing a report from Moore and that Moore had not received approval from his board and had received some resistance to the merger. Wellford met with Larry Bassi to formulate questions. ACJS voted 2-1 not to consider a merger at this time with ASC or any time in the immediate future but would be interested in talking about relationships between the two organizations -- joint meetings, overlapping meetings, etc. Wellford suggested that the ACJS relationship should be treated in the same manner as any other organizations regarding the Interorganizational Relationship Committee activities. Akers noted that Task Force A has discharged with excellence the charge given to them and that both Task Force A and B are now disbanded.

Grants and Contracts Committee

Wellford noted that in the previous Board meeting additional areas were suggested to be explored further in the area of research and evaluation standards. Wellford reported that he had spoken with people at the National Institute and LEAA. Before he proceeded any further, he wanted to get a clear indication of the Board's feeling regarding this matter. He reported there would be sufficient funds if the grant is obtained to provide for a full time executive director of the project which would last approximately two years. The goal would be the publication of a series of books, pamphlets, monographs dealing with various aspects of the research process. The standards setting which one took from review process whereby standards are developed, drafted and reviewed through our profession and then approved by the Board. Akers inquired as to what special role the Society could play. Wellford noted that there would be an executive director and board serving and dealing in the areas of measurement. Wellford noted two benefits: (1) Society has to do something for the profession by using a process to clean up the research act, and (2) since this does not come out of 406E money, the Society would be eligible for funding as a Society could apply an overrate return to help run the administrative office, travel for the Board meetings, etc. ASC can be valuable to a funding agency and might be added as a purpose and objective of the Society to the Constitution.

Hotel Facilities Report - 1982

Harry Allen distributed copies of the proposed contract with the Sheraton Hotel in Puerto Rico and noted that he had not sent the proposed contract to legal counsel for review. If the Board agrees to Puerto Rico in 1982, Allen reported he would forward the contract to ASC's legal counsel. Following discussion, a motion was made by Charles Thomas and seconded by Charles McCaghy that the Society not go to Puerto Rico in 1982. Motion carried. (For: 12 - Opposed: 3).

The 1982 site was referred to the Site Selection Committee for their recommendation. Scott suggested polling the membership for their suggestions as to site selections for future meetings. No motion required.

International Liaison Committee

President Akers reported that the International Society for Criminology is willing to co-sponsor an international meeting with ASC. The International section members will be contacted through the newsletter.
Interorganizational Relations Committee

John Clark reported his committee has been corresponding and would have a report available at the November meeting. Clark inquired as to (1) if the committee approached other organizations and talked to them, in what areas could arrangements be discussed — membership lists, meetings, etc. and (2) was there any major objection to joint meetings however they might be defined. Did the Board see any legal, image problems? Clark will follow up with his committee and report their activities at the November meeting.

Membership Committee

Harry Allen reported that there were 1,245 members in good standing as of May 1, 1979, and that this is a little ahead of last year's membership at this time. There is approximately 25% loss per year in failing to retain members. He reported that Chris Eskridge of the University of Nebraska/Lincoln is serving as co-chair of the Membership Committee. Barbara Price suggested that a renewed effort should be made to solicit minorities for the Society.

Publications Committee

1. Frank Scarpitti reported that the Publications Committee proposes a processing fee for the journal of $5.00. The University of Delaware's contribution to the journal is running approximately $10,000 and a small processing fee would be helpful in subsidizing the cost of the journal. The committee did not recommend a processing fee for student members or for papers returned to authors without review.

   A motion was made by Frank Scarpitti and seconded by Charles McCaghy that a processing fee of $5.00 for the submission of papers, with the exception of ASC student papers, to Criminology be implemented November 1, 1979. Upon further discussion the motion was amended by Daniel Glaser and seconded by Charles Thomas that the processing fee be increased to $10. Favor: 8 - Opposed: 3. Joseph Scott moved that everyone submitting papers, including students, to the journal be charged a $10 processing fee; seconded by Charles Thomas. For: 2 - Opposed: 8. All authors, other than student members of ASC will be charged a $10 processing fee.

   A motion that student papers solely authored by members of ASC be charged a processing fee of $5.00 was made by Joseph Scott; seconded by John Clark. For: 3 - Opposed: 9. Moved by Frank Scarpitti and seconded by Ronald Huff that monies from the processing fee would be especially earmarked to help subsidize the overhead of Criminology. Motion carried unanimously.

2. The Committee recommended that when the newsletter printing is renegotiated, that the newsletter be published six times a year and concentrate on the business and professional matters of the Society and its membership, and that page overruns be discouraged. Officers of the Society should be encouraged to use the newsletter to communicate with members so that costly direct mailings from the Columbus office are eliminated. Most importantly, there will be more regularity in the printing and mailing of the newsletter by the printer.

3. Proceeding Volumes: The contract with Sage to publish four or five proceedings volumes runs through the 1979 meeting and the Committee felt that the contract should be honored this year. Beyond that, the Publications Committee does not have a specific recommendation at this time, although three alternatives are possible and asked for the Board's sentiments regarding these alternatives:
1. One alternative is to continue publishing the annual proceedings as the Society has in the past issuing four or five topical volumes each year.

2. Not publish the annual volumes of papers presented at ASC meetings.

3. Publish one large volume made up of the 25-30 best papers presented at the meetings.

James Hackler moved that the proceedings be dropped when the Society's commitment is completed with Sage; seconded by Charles McCaghy. Discussion followed. Wellford spoke against the motion as he noted that the series was improving and stated that ACJS would begin publishing their proceedings with Anderson Publishing Co. Joseph Scott moved to table the motion until the next Board meeting when economic information on sales could be obtained; seconded by Charles McCaghy. Motion carried. (For: 9 - Opposed: 2).

Scott will secure a breakdown from Sage regarding the royalties ASC has received for the journal, from ads and from the Sage Research Progress Series.

4. Contract with Sage: The Society's contract with Sage to publish Criminology expires in February 1980 and its contract to publish The Criminologist expires in March 1980. The Publications Committee has assumed the responsibility of contacting a variety of possible publishers and asking that they submit bids for publishing the journal and newsletter. Some 16 publishers of professional journals were sent letters requesting bids and thus far the committee has heard from 10. Eight of the 10 respondents expressed interest and have requested further information. Sage has also been asked to submit a bid if they are interested in renewing their contract with ASC. The Publications Committee plans to have a recommendation for the Executive Board no later than November, 1979.

Scarpitti asked for some guidelines from the Board as to how they would like the journal's format considered for discussion with publishers. Recommendations of smaller type (12 pt. type) than we now have with Sage were suggested. Board members are urged to send their suggestions to Scarpitti.

Site Selection Committee Report - 1984

Harry Allen reported the Committee's first two choices were New York City and Toronto, respectively. A motion was made by Harry Allen and seconded by Charles McCaghy to approve the site of New York City for the 1984 Annual Meeting. Discussion followed and McCaghy inquired as to the possibility of Toronto being considered for the 1982 meeting. McCaghy moved and seconded by James Hackler that Toronto be selected as the site of the 1982 meeting. Motion carried.

The Board suggested that the Site Selection Committee consider a central U.S. city such as Cincinnati, Nashville, Kansas City, Chicago or Oklahoma for the 1984 site. The Committee will report their 1984 recommendations at the November Board meeting.

New Business

President Akers informed the Board that W. B. Saunders Company has requested the sponsorship of the Gene Carte Student Paper Competition and has agreed to provide the monies for the first, second and third prize winners. Akers noted that the Board should know that there was another proposal, which was in some sense in competition with the Harve Horowitz proposal for the ASC exhibits. Sherry Icenhower